

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

[SEQ CHAPTER \h \r 1]Region 3 Case Docket Review
February 2020

Case Name: Harrisburg/CRW

Region 3 EPA Attorney: Pam Lazos

ECAD Case Assignee: Steve Maslowski

OECA Assignee: Sushila Nanda

DOJ Attorney: waiting for one

Month and Year referred: unknown

Population Affected (for Municipal Cases): 49,192

Case Type: (e.g., CAA stationary, CAA mobile, CWA NPDES or CSO, SSO, etc.) CWA NPDES

Status of Complaint: not drafted

Is the State a party to the case? yes

Do we need additional evidence to draft a complaint? Probably not

Is an Info Request needed? Probably not

If Info Request has been issued, do we have a complete response?

Status of negotiations: in the midsts of a series of technical meetings to get Harrisburg up to speed on creating their LTCP.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

External considerations: Harrisburg recently received a loan from PennVest.

FOR DISCUSSION AT CASE TEAM MEETING/DOCKET REVIEW

PRIORITY of case, NEXT STEPS, and TARGET DATES, including consent decree lodging or filing of complaint.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)